Reactivity of Triethylborane towards Di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes. Competition between 1,1-Organoboration and 1,2-Hydroboration

Ezzat Khan, Stefan Bayer, and Bernd Wrackmeyer

Anorganische Chemie II, Universität Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany

Reprint requests to Prof. Dr. B. Wrackmeyer. E-mail: b.wrack@uni-bayreuth.de

Z. Naturforsch. **2009**, *64b,* 47 – 57; received October 28, 2008

Dedicated to Professor Otto J. Scherer on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes, $HSi(Cl)(C{\equiv}C\text{-}R)_2$, $R^1Si(Cl)(C{\equiv}C\text{-}R)_2$ or $HSi(Cl)(C{\equiv}C\text{-}R)C{\equiv}C\text{-}R'$, with an excess of triethylborane, BEt_3, proceed slowly (several days) at 100 – 120 °C. Twofold 1,1-organoboration of $HSi(Cl)(C{\equiv}C\text{-}R)_2$ or $HSi(Cl)(C{\equiv}C\text{-}R)C{\equiv}C\text{-}R'$ leads to siloles, independent of R = ^{n}Bu, ^{t}Bu, $SiMe_3$. This provides the most straightforward way to siloles bearing both a hydrogen and a chlorine at the silicon atom. However, in the cases of R = Ph, BEt_3 acts as 1,2-hydroborating reagent in the intermolecular first step of the reaction, leading to 1-silacyclobutene derivatives. All siloles and 1-silacyclobutene derivatives were characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (^{1}H, ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si). Comparable 1-silacyclobutene derivatives were formed using 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, 9-BBN, as a well established 1,2- hydroborating reagent.

Key words: Triethylborane, Siloles, Silacyclobutene, Hydroboration, Organoboration, NMR

Introduction

Triethylborane, BEt_3, is a commercial reagent and has found widespread applications [1]. In our studies on 1,1-organoboration [2], BEt_3 has been extensively used for 1,1-ethylboration reactions of alkyn-1-yl metal derivatives to form new C–C bonds, both for the synthesis of non-cyclic and cyclic compounds. Among the latter, a variety of siloles [2 – 6] became readily accessible [Scheme 1(a)], circumventing other much more tedious synthetic procedures. These particular 1,1-organoboration reactions require prolonged periods (several days) of heating at elevated temperature (100 – 120 °C) and proceed in two steps. The first step involves intermolecular 1,1-ethylboration, followed in the second step by intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration. Triethylborane, BEt_3, has been considered as thermally stable [7 – 12], and 1,2-dehydroboration, leading to the *in situ* formation of Et_2BH and elimination of ethene, has never been observed, in contrast with many other trialkylboranes [7, 11, 12]. Recently we have explored the influence of Si–Cl functions in alkyn-1-yl(chloro)silanes on the course of 1,1-ethylboration reactions. It has been shown that reactions of BEt_3 with some alkyn-1-yl(trichloro)-silanes [13] and alkyn-1-yl(dichloro)silanes [14] afford exclusively alkenes *via* 1,2-hydroboration instead of alkenes expected for 1,1-ethylboration. Moreover, di(alkyn-1-yl)(dichloro)silanes react with BEt_3 to give 1-silacyclobutene derivatives [13] as the result of consecutive 1,2-hydroboration and intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration [Scheme 1(b)].



Scheme 1. Formation of silole (a) or 1-silacyclobutene derivatives (b) using BEt_3.

In this work, we report on the reactivity of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes (Scheme 2) towards BEt_3 to study the potential competition between 1,1-ethylboration and 1,2-hydroboration. This study was expected to open the way to silole derivatives with hitherto unknown substituent patterns, and also to shed some light on mechanistic implications. The potential 1,2-hydroboration activity of BEt_3 was confirmed by compar-

0932–0776 / 09 / 0100–0047 $ 06.00 © 2009 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · http://znaturforsch.com



Scheme 2. Syntheses of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes as starting materials.

ison with analogous reactions using the well established 1,2-hydroborating reagent 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]-nonane, 9-BBN. Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (^{1}H, ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si) served for monitoring all reactions and characterization of the final products.

Results and Discussion

*Synthesis of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **1** – **6***

The di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes bearing identical [**1** – **3**; Scheme 2(a)] or different C≡C-R groups [**4** – **6**; Scheme 2(b)] were prepared by the reactions of the respective trichlorosilane R^1SiCl_3 with the alkynyl lithium reagents following the literature procedure [15]. Pure samples of silanes **1** – **6** were obtained by fractional distillation. Although some of the di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes have already been described [16], fairly complete NMR data sets were missing. Therefore, the NMR data of **1** – **6** were collected (Table 1 and Experimental Section).

*Formation of siloles: Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **1a** – **c**, **2a**, **4a**, **5c**, and **6c** with BEt_3*

The reaction of the silane **1a** with BEt_3, carried out at 110 – 120 °C, affords selectively the silole **7a**. The analogous products (**7b** and **7c**) are observed for R = ^{t}Bu and $SiMe_3$ (Scheme 3). The reaction of **1d** with BEt_3 gives a mixture of products. The NMR data (Tables 2 and 3) indicate the presence of the silole **7d** and a 1-silacyclobutene (*vide infra*) as major components (40 – 45 % each) along with several unidentified side products (*ca.* 15 %). The same reactions were carried out under identical reaction conditions with **2a** and **3a**. The NMR spectra of the reaction solutions (Table 2 and *e. g.* Fig. 2) revealed the formation of the siloles **8a** and **9a**.

Table 1. ^{13}C and ^{29}Si NMR data[a] of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)-silanes **1** – **6**.

	δ^{13}C(≡C)	δ^{13}C(Si–C≡)	δ^{29}Si
1a[b]	112.5 [25.9]	77.4 [125.8]	−57.5
1b[c]	120.1 [25.1]	75.6 [125.4]	−56.5
1c[d]	120.4 [20.0] [71.5]	103.3 [114.0] [11.5]	−60.1, −16.3 {2.1}[l]
1d[e]	109.5 [25.5]	85.5 [124.3]	−55.4
2a[f]	110.8 [24.9]	80.1 [122.8]	−34.9
2c[g]	108.1 [24.5]	88.3 [121.3]	−32.7
3c[h]	109.6 [25.2]	87.2 [126.1]	−42.0
4a[i]	112.6 [26.3, β], 119.8 [24.7, β]	77.4 [126.3, α], 75.5 [125.2, α]	−57.1
5c[j]	113.4 [26.1, β], 119.4 [19.7] [70.8, β]	76.7 [126.8, α], 104.2 [114.7] [11.6, α]	−58.8, −16.4
6c[k]	109.4 [25.8, β], 120.3 [20.0] [71.6, β]	85.2 [124.2, α], 103.4 [114.4] [11.6, α]	−58.0, −16.3 {2.3}[l]

[a] Measured in C_6D_6; coupling constants $J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ [±0.4 Hz] are given in square brackets; [b] other ^{13}C data: δ = 30.1, 22.1, 19.7, 13.6 (^{n}Bu); [c] other ^{13}C data: δ = 30.1, 28.3 (^{t}Bu); [d] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = −1.0 [56.6, $SiMe_3$]; [e] other ^{13}C data: δ = 121.3, 128.5, 132.6, 130.1 (*i, o, m, p*, Ph); [f] other ^{13}C data: δ [$^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 5.1 [73.7, Si-Me], 30.2, 22.1, 19.6, 13.6 (^{n}Bu); [g] other ^{13}C data: δ [$^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 4.6 [74.8, Si-Me], 121.8, 132.6, 128.5, 129.8 (*i, o, m, p*, Ph); [h] other ^{13}C data: δ [$^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 132.6 [99.7], 134.4, 128.7, 131.8 (*i, o, m, p*, Si-Ph), 121.5, 132.7, 128.5, 130.0 (*i, o, m, p*, Ph); [i] other ^{13}C data: δ = 13.6, 19.6, 22.0, 29.9 (^{n}Bu), 28.4, 30.1 (^{t}Bu); [j] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{29}Si,^{13}C)$]= −0.9 [56.6, $SiMe_3$], 13.5, 19.6, 22.0, 29.8 (^{n}Bu); [k] other ^{13}C data: δ = −0.9 [56.6, $SiMe_3$], 121.2, 128.5, 132.6, 130.1 (*i, o, m, p*, Ph); [l] $J(^{29}Si, ^{29}Si)$.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of siloles *via* 1,1-ethylboration of silanes **1** – **3**.

The silanes **4** – **6** containing different alkyn-1-yl groups [R ≠ R′; Scheme 2(b)] were treated with BEt_3 at 110 – 120 °C. In the case of **4a**, 1,1-ethylboration of the Si–C≡C-^{n}Bu group occurs more readily than of the Si–C≡C-^{t}Bu unit (Fig. 3), and in the cases of **5c** and **6c**, 1,1-ethylboration of the Si–C≡C–$SiMe_3$ units is preferred over C≡C-^{n}Bu or C≡C-Ph groups (Scheme 4). This finding is supported by additional NMR data sets which can be assigned to siloles **13a′**, **14c′** and **15c′**, present in minor quantities. In addition to the mixture of

Table 2. ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si NMR data[a] of siloles **7** – **9** and **13** – **15**.

	δ^{13}C (C-2)	δ^{13}C (C-3)	δ^{13}C (C-4)	δ^{13}C (C-5)	δ^{29}Si	δ^{11}B
7a[b]	135.3 [73.1]	169.1 (br)	157.2 [15.7]	131.7 [78.8]	−7.0	89.7
7b[c]	145.7 [84.1]	166.4 (br)	156.7 [16.9]	139.3 [76.9]	−5.8	87.5
7c[d]	139.9 [48.3] [63.3]	186.9 (br)	173.3 [10.2] [12.7]	132.3 [55.6] [61.9]	9.8, −10.2, −9.8	87.1
7d[e]	141.9 [79.5]	172.1 (br)	159.7 [n. m.]	136.2 [n. m.]	−6.6	86.7
8a[f]	136.6 [72.5]	167.1 (br)	155.4 [15.1]	132.5 [78.1]	16.7	86.1
9a[g]	136.6 [73.6]	169.0 (br)	157.2 [15.0]	132.7 [79.4]	5.3	86.8
13a[h]	145.7 [72.0]	164.4 (br)	155.3 [16.9]	132.2 [79.1]	−7.4	89.0
13a′[I]	135.5	168.8 (br)	157.7	139.3	−5.6	89.0
14a[j]	143.4 [69.2]	169.3 (br)	174.6 [10.7]	126.6 [63.7]	0.8	88.3
15c[k]	144.3 [70.2]	170.7 (br)	174.5 [11.4] [10.1]	138.7 [57.4] [60.7]	0.9	88.5

[a] Measured in C_6D_6, coupling constants corresponding to $^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ and $^2J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ are given in square brackets, n. m. means not measured, (br) indicates a broad ^{13}C resonance signal of carbon linked to boron atom owing to partially relaxed ^{11}B–^{13}C spin-spin scalar coupling [21]; [b] other ^{13}C data: δ = 14.2, 23.4, 27.7, 33.7, 34.2 (^{n}Bu), 9.0, 22.1 (br), 22.6 (br) (BEt_2), 13.4, 31.4 (Et); [c] other ^{13}C data: δ = 32.5, 32.7, 26.7 (^{t}Bu), 9.9, 22.7 (br) (BEt_2), 14.4, 30.3 (Et); [d] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 1.2 [51.8, $SiMe_3$], 1.3 [52.3, $SiMe_3$], 9.3, 9.3, 22.4 (br), 23.6 (br) (BEt_2), 14.8, 30.2 (Et); [e] other ^{13}C data: δ = 9.0, 22.3 (BEt_2), 13.7, 27.1 (Et), Ph carbons without assignment; [f] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 0.8 [69.5, Si-Me], 14.2, 14.3, 23.4, 27.8, 31.6, 32.9, 33.4 (^{n}Bu), 22.6 (br), 9.1 (BEt_2), 24.7, 13.6 (Et); [g] other ^{13}C are not assigned due to the presence of some side products including 1-silacyclobutene; [h] other ^{13}C data: δ = 14.2, 23.4, 24.9, 27.7, 33.6 (^{n}Bu), 26.8, 32.7 (^{t}Bu), 9.8, 22.1, 22.6 (BEt_2), 13.7, 35.5 (Et); [i] other ^{13}C data: δ = 14.4, 23.5, 25.2, 27.0, 34.1 (^{n}Bu), 26.4, 32.4 (^{t}Bu), 9.1, 22.2 (BEt_2), 13.5, 34.5 (Et); [j] other ^{13}C data: δ = 1.3 [52.9, $SiMe_3$], 14.1, 24.8, 31.5, 34.0 (^{n}Bu), 22.6, 9.0 (BEt_2), 14.1, 31.1 (Et); [k] other ^{13}C data: δ = 1.2 [52.3, $SiMe_3$], 9.5, 22.3 (BEt_2), 14.4, 30.8 (Et), 128.1, 128.6, 127.9, 126.8 (*i, o, m, p*, Ph).



Scheme 4. Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes H(Cl)Si(C≡C-R)C≡C-R′ **4** – **6** (R ≠ R′) with BEt_3 to afford mixtures of the respective siloles.

siloles, small amounts of the side products **10** – **12** (Scheme 4) are formed. These products are unsuitable to undergo ring closure *via* intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration. The desired siloles (**13** – **15**) are the main components in the reaction mixtures, identified unambiguously by their distinct NMR data.

The siloles bearing identical (**7a** – **d**, **8a**, **9a**) or different (**13a**, **14c**, **15c**) substituents at 2 and 5 positions are oily, air and moisture sensitive compounds, and their structures were proposed on the basis of consistent sets of NMR data (Table 2 and Figs. 1, 2, 3).

*Formation of 1-silacyclobutene derivatives: Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **1d**, **2d** and **3d** with BEt_3*

The reaction of **1d** with BEt_3 gives the silole **7d** together with a second major compound, subsequently identified as the 1-silacyclobutene derivative **16d**. This result shows that 1,1-ethylboration can be accompanied by competitive reactions which, depending on various substituents, may become dominant, offering an attractive route to novel heterocycles, such as 1-silacyclobutene derivatives. Therefore, the reactions of **2d** and **3d** with BEt_3 are of interest (Scheme 5). NMR spectra of the reaction solutions indicate almost quantitative formation of 1-silacyclobutene derivatives (> 90 %) instead of siloles. In the light of our previous



Scheme 5. Reactions of chlorodi(phenylethynyl)silanes **1d** – **3d** with BEt_3 leading to 1-silacyclobutene derivatives.

Table 3. ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si NMR data[a] of alkenyl(alkyn-1-yl)silanes **19** and **20**.

	δ^{13}C (BC=)	δ^{13}C (=C)	δ^{13}C (Si–C≡)	δ^{13}C (≡C)	δ^{11}B	δ^{29}Si
19a[b]	144.0 [73.4, br]	162.2	82.9 [104.9]	110.7 [21.1]	81.9	−15.6
19d[c]	147.7 [73.7, br]	156.3	91.8 [103.9]	108.2 [20.5]	83.6	−14.6
20d[d]	144.1 [75.5, br]	158.7	90.2 [109.0]	109.3 [21.3]	84.6	−23.7

[a] Measured in C_6D_6, coupling constants $J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ [±0.4 Hz] are given in square brackets, (br) denotes a broad ^{13}C resonance signal as the result of partially relaxed scalar ^{11}B–^{13}C spin-spin coupling [21]; [b] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 6.2 [64.6, Si-Me], 35.2, 31.7, 22.2, 14.3 (=C-Bu), 30.5, 22.9, 19.9, 13.7 (≡C-Bu), 34.4, 34.5, 31.3 (br), 23.6 (9-BBN); [c] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 5.1 [65.9, Si-Me], 34.7, 34.7, 31.7 (br), 23.7 (9-BBN), 140.0, 132.5, 129.9, 129.5, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 122.5 (Ph); [d] other ^{13}C data: δ = 34.7, 34.6, 31.9 (br), 23.6 (9-BBN), 139.1, 135.5, 134.5, 134.3, 132.6, 132.4, 130.7, 130.3, 129.4, 129.0, 128.7, 122.3 (Ph, Si-Ph).



Fig. 1. 100.5 MHz $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ and 79.6 MHz $^{29}Si\{^1H\}$ (inserted) NMR spectra of **7c**. In the ^{13}C NMR spectrum, the ^{29}Si satellites, marked by asterisks, correpond to $^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ and $^nJ(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$, $n \geq 2$. Note the typically broad signal belonging to the carbon atom bonded to boron [21]. In the ^{29}Si NMR spectrum, the respective ^{13}C satellites are marked by asterisks and diamonds, while ^{29}Si satellites, marked by arrows, correspond to $^2J(^{29}Si,^{29}Si)$. The $^{29}SiMe_3$ nuclei 2′ and 5′ are precisely assigned based on these NMR data.



Fig. 2. Part of the 100.5 MHz $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of a crude reaction mixture mainly containing **8a**. Only ^{13}C signals belonging to the silole ring are shown. The ^{29}Si satellites, marked by asterisks, represent $^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ and $^2J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$. Note the typically broad signal belonging to the carbon atom bonded to boron [21].

Table 4. ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si NMR data[a] of 1-silacyclobutene derivatives **16** – **18**, **21** and **22**.

	δ^{13}C (=CH)	δ^{13}C (C-2)	δ^{13}C (C-3)	δ^{13}C (C-4)	δ^{29}Si	δ^{11}B
16d[b]	n.a.	141.9 [n.o.]	173.3 (br)	159.4 [n.o.]	−16.4	86.7
17d[c]	130.1	147.2 [58.0]	179.9 (br)	157.2 [63.8]	10.7	85.7
18d[d]	134.4	146.2 [58.8]	182.8 (br)	156.3 [63.6]	−1.2	86.9
21a[e]	128.9 [12.2]	147.1 [58.4]	175.9 (br)	165.3 [58.7]	11.7	84.2
21d[f]	131.0	147.8 [57.9]	177.8 (br)	161.0 [61.1]	11.0	86.8
22d[g]	132.6	146.9 [58.8]	180.6 (br)	160.2 [62.4]	−1.8	84.6

[a] Measured in C_6D_6, coupling constants $^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ and $^2J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$ are given in square brackets [±0.4 Hz], (br) denotes a broad ^{13}C resonance signal as the result of partially relaxed scalar ^{11}B–^{13}C coupling [21]; [b] other carbons were not assigned, as silole accompanied by some other unknown side products are present; [c] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 3.6 [52.0, Si-Me], 21.6 (br), 9.0 (BEt_2), 139.0, 137.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.1, 127.9 (Ph); [d] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 21.7 (br), 9.3 (BEt_2), 138.4 [4.6], 136.9 [5.3], 134.3, 132.7, 132.5, 130.3, 129.3, 128.9, 128.9, 128.2, 128.0, 127.1 (Ph); [e] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 3.4 [49.8, Si-Me], 34.4, 34.2, 32.2 (br), 23.4 (9-BBN); [f] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 3.2 [51.8, Si-Me], 34.4, 34.2, 32.2 (br), 23.4 (9-BBN), 139.1 [5.3], 137.7 [4.6], 131.1, 129.8, 129.1, 128.9, 128.1, 127.8 (Ph); [g] other ^{13}C data: δ [$J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$] = 34.5, 34.3, 32.4 (br), 23.5 (9-BBN), 138.7 [5.3], 137.5 [4.6], 132.7 [71.1], 134.5, 131.8, 131.3, 130.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5 (Ph).



Fig. 3. 49.7 MHz ^{29}Si NMR spectrum (refocused INEPT) of the reaction mixture indicating starting silane **4a**, alkeny(alkyn-1-yl)silane **10a** (as a side product) and the desired siloles **13a** and in minor quantity **13a′** (see Scheme 4).

experience [13, 14], we propose that the first step of the reaction proceeds selectively *via* 1,2-hydroboration of one of the alkyn-1-yl groups of these silanes. The intermediate (not detected) bears the diethylboryl and the silyl groups at the same olefinic carbon atom. This is an ideal geometry for the rearrangement *via* intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration to give 1-silacyclobutene derivatives. Apparently, the presence of the phenyl group at the C≡C bond, together with the Si–Cl function, opens the way to 1,2-hydroboration instead of 1,1-ethylboration (Scheme 5).

We propose that this particular 1,2-hydroboration, unusual for BEt_3, proceeds *via* β-hydrogen transfer [14] rather than *via* 1,2-dehydroboration after intermediate formation of Et_2BH. The phenyl group linked to the C≡C bond is considered to stabilize a polar transition state. The Si–Cl function increases the strength of the Si–C≡ bond and hampers the cleavage of this bond as required in the course of 1,1-ethylboration. Monitoring of the reactions by ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 4) proved helpful, and the solution-state structures of the final products could be deduced from the complete set of multinuclear NMR data (Table 4).

*Formation of 1-silacyclobutene derivatives: Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **2a**, **d** and **3d** with 9-BBN*

Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes with 9-BBN require less stringent reaction conditions (80 – 100 °C, few hours or few days in some cases) using toluene or benzene as solvents. The reactions proceed



Fig. 4. Monitoring of the reaction of **3d** with BEt_3 by 59.6 MHz ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy (A) after 3 d; (B) after 9 d; (C) after 15 d. The reaction mixture contains only the starting silane **3d** and the 1-silacyclobutene derivative **18d** (*ca.* 9 : 1). No intermediate analogous to **19** and **20** were detected.



Fig. 5. 59.6 MHz $^{29}Si\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum (refocused INEPT) of the reaction mixture containing the starting silane **2a** and the intermediate **19a**. Expansion is given for the signal belonging to **19a**, showing ^{13}C satellites, owing to $^nJ(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$, $n = 1, 2$.

via 1,2-hydroboration of one Si–C≡C- bond to give at first the alkenyl(alkyn-1-yl)silanes **19** and **20** as intermediates. These are fairly stable [17, 18] and were fully characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (Table 4; Fig. 5). On further heating **19** and **20** undergo intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration affording the 1-silacyclobutene derivatives **21** and **22** (Scheme 6; Fig. 6). The NMR data obtained for **21** and **22** compare well with those reported previously for analogous heterocycles [18]. The reactions shown in Scheme



Scheme 6. Reactions of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **2a**, **d** and **3d** with 9-BBN.

6 were carried out to support the data obtained for the four-membered heterocycles **16**–**18** (Scheme 5),



Fig. 6. Part of the 100.5 MHz $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of **21d** (measured at 23 °C, *ca.* 15 % (v/v) solution in C_6D_6). Signals for 1-silacyclobutene ring carbons (C-2 and C-4) are evident from ^{29}Si satellites for $^1J(^{13}C,^{29}Si)$, while that of C-3 linked to the boron atom is typically broad [21].



Scheme 7. Two alternative reaction pathways leading either to siloles or 1-silacyclobutene derivatives.

where BEt_3 served unexpectedly as a hydroborating reagent.

Reaction mechanism

The proposed mechanisms for the formation of siloles and 1-silacyclobutene derivatives are summarized in Scheme 7. Clearly, the product distribution depends on the Si–Cl and C≡C-R/R′ functions. The silanes bearing R = R′ = Ph open the way to 1-silacyclobutene derivatives. We propose a transition state **D**, containing a six-membered cycle, and suggests the ability of the C≡C-Ph group to delocalize a positive charge plays an important role in its stabilization. Starting from **D**, the intermediate **E** is formed by β-hydrogen transfer and elimination of ethene. Intramolecular rearrangement by 1,1-vinylboration, similar to the formation of the siloles (from **C**), leads from **E** towards the 1-silacyclobutene derivatives.

NMR spectroscopic studies

The ^{11}B, ^{13}C and ^{29}Si NMR data for siloles (**7**–**9**, **13**–**15**), alkenyl(alkyn-1-yl)silane intermediates (**19**, **20**) and 1-silacyclobutene derivatives (**16**–**18**, **21**, **22**) are summarized in Tables 2–4, respectively. The ^1H NMR data are listed in the Experimental Section. The data sets are in full agreement with the proposed structures. Both siloles and 1-silacyclobutenes can readily be identified by their characteristic NMR

parameters (for comparison see Figs. 1, 2 and 6). The chemical shifts δ^{11}B for intermediates (*i. e.* **19** and **20**; $\delta = 82 \pm 1$) and all products were observed in the expected range typical of triorganoboranes without significant BC(pp) π interactions [19, 20]. The siloles and 1-silacyclobutenes possess well distinguishable ^{13}C NMR data. Most ^{13}C NMR signals could be readily assigned by their ^{29}Si satellites [$^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^{13}\text{C})$ and $^2J(^{29}\text{Si},^{13}\text{C})$] or by the typical increase in the line widths owing to partially relaxed one-bond ^{13}C–^{11}B spin-spin coupling [21]. Because of their simplicity (Figs. 3, 4), ^{29}Si NMR spectra are helpful in monitoring the reactions, and δ^{29}Si data are markedly different for siloles and 1-silacyclobutene derivatives (Tables 2 and 3). In the ^{1}H NMR spectra (*e. g.* **16d**, **17d**, **18d**), a singlet for an olefinic proton [C=CH(Ph)] and the absence of signals for the =C-Et group in the aliphatic region clearly show that 1,2-hydroboration has taken place.

Conclusions

1,1-Ethylboration of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes is an efficient method for the preparation of siloles bearing substituents on the silicon atom such as Si–Cl and Si–H. In comparison to other reported methods [22] this process is fairly straightforward. In particular the H(Cl)Si- group in the new siloles, almost without precedent, is promising for further transformations. The role of the Si–Cl function for the stability of the Si–C≡ bond is evident from a series of reactions where BEt_3 acts as hydroborating reagent leading to 1-silacyclobutene derivatives. In this context, the influence of the phenyl group at the C≡C bond is striking.

Experimental Section

All preparative work and handling of air-sensitive chemicals were carried out by observing necessary precautions to exclude traces of oxygen and moisture. Trichlorosilane, trichloro(methyl)silane, trichloro(phenyl)silane, 1-hexyne, 3,3-dimethyl-but-1-yne, ethynylbenzene, trimethylsilylethyne, *n*-butyllithium in hexane (1.6 M), triethylborane (BEt_3), 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) were commercial products and were used without further purification. NMR spectra: Bruker ARX 250 MHz or Varian Inova 300 MHz and 400 MHz spectrometers (23 ± 1 °C), all equipped with multinuclear units, using C_6D_6 solutions (*ca.* 15 – 20 % v/v) in 5 mm tubes. Chemical shifts are given with respect to $SiMe_4$ [δ^1H (C_6D_5H) = 7.15, δ^{13}C (C_6D_6) = 128.0, δ^{29}Si = 0 for $SiMe_4$ with $\Xi(^{29}\text{Si})$ = 19.867187 MHz], and δ^{11}B = 0 for BF_3–OEt_2 with $\Xi(^{11}\text{B})$ = 32.083971 MHz. ^{29}Si NMR spectra were recorded using the refocused INEPT pulse sequence with ^{1}H decoupling [23], based either on $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H}) \approx 280$ Hz, $^3J(^{29}\text{SiC=C}^1\text{H}) \approx 30 - 35$ Hz, $^2J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H(SiMe)})$ or $^3J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H(SiPh)}) \approx 7$ Hz (after optimization of the respective refocusing delays).

Synthesis of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes ***1 – 6***

To a freshly prepared suspension of Li–C≡C-^{n}Bu (61 mmol) in hexane (50 mL), trichlorosilane $HSiCl_3$ (1.9 mL, 19.3 mmol) was added slowly at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach r. t. Insoluble materials were filtered off, and all readily volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure (10^{-2} Torr). The oily residue left was analyzed to contain a mixture of HCl_2Si–C≡C-Ph, $HClSi(C{\equiv}C\text{-}Ph)_2$ (**1a**) and $HSi(C{\equiv}C\text{-}Ph)_3$, and fractional distillation gave pure **1a** as a colorless oil. The same procedure was followed for the syntheses of the analogous silanes **1b – d**, **2a**, **d** and **3d**. A solution of HCl_2Si–C≡C-^{t}Bu (lighter fraction of the mixture containing **1b**) in hexane (10 mL) was added to a freshly prepared Li–C≡C-^{n}Bu suspension at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to r. t. and was stirred for 1 h. The work-up procedure as described above gave the pure silane **4a** as a colorless oil (yield 43.1 %). The same procedure was adopted for the synthesis of silanes **5c** (yield 49 %) and **6c** (yield 37.3 %).

1a: B. p. = 85 °C/2×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR data (250 MHz): δ = 0.7, 1.2, 1.9 (t, m, t, 18H, ^{n}Bu), 5.2 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 276.1 Hz, Si–H). – IR (C_6D_6): ν = 2185 (C≡C), 2147 (Si–H) cm^{-1}.

1b: B. p. = 47 °C/1.8×10^{-1} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 1.0 (s, 18H, ^{t}Bu), 5.3 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 274.2 Hz, Si–H). – IR (C_6D_6): ν = 2158 (C≡C), 2128 (Si–H) cm^{-1}.

1c: B. p. = 58 °C/8.3×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = −0.04 (s, 18H, $SiMe_3$), 5.1 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 279.0 Hz, Si–H).

1d: B. p. = 112 °C/1.0×10^{-3} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 5.4 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 280.9 Hz, Si–H), 6.8 – 7.0, 7.2 – 7.3 (m, m, 10H, Ph).

2a: B. p. = 83 – 85 °C/2.8×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.6 (s, 3H, $^2J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 8.2 Hz, Si-Me), 0.5, 1.1, 1.7 (t, m, t, 18H, ^{n}Bu).

2d: B. p. = 145 – 150 °C/9.1×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.5 (s, 3H, $^2J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 8.0 Hz, Si-Me), 6.6, 7.1 (m, m, 10H, Ph).

3d: B. p. = 192 – 196 °C/0.14 Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 6.8, 7.2, 8.0 (m, m, m, 15H, Si-Ph, Ph).

4a: B. p. = 44 °C/1×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.7, 1.4, 1.8 (t, m, t, 9H, ^{n}Bu), 1.0 (s, 9H, ^{t}Bu), 5.2 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 273.5 Hz, Si–H).

5c: B. p. = 55 °C/1×10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.00 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 0.6, 1.0 – 1.2, 1.8 (t, m, t, 9H, ^{n}Bu), 5.2 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}\text{Si},^1\text{H})$ = 275.8 Hz, Si–H).

6c: B. p. = 78 °C/1 × 10^{-2} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.01 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 6.8 – 7.0, 7.2 (m, 5H, Ph), 5.2 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 279.1 Hz, Si–H).

*1,1-Ethylboration of silanes **1 – 6**, syntheses of siloles **7 – 9** and **13 – 15***

General procedure: A Schlenk tube was charged with the solution of the respective di(alkyn-1-yl)silane and triethylborane in large excess (as the reagent as well as the solvent). The reaction solution was heated at 100 – 120 °C (oil bath temperature). The reaction was monitored by ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy. After it was complete, all volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure, and the remaining brown oily liquids (siloles) were studied by NMR spectroscopy. Except for the reaction time, the experimental procedure was the same for all siloles. Time required for reaction completion was 1 d (**7a**), 7 d (**7b**, **8a**), 4 h (**7c**), 10 d (**7d**) and 20 d (**9a**).

7a: B. p. = 120 °C/1.0 × 10^{-3} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.8, 0.9, 1.3, 2.3 (t, t, m, t, 18H, ^{n}Bu), 0.9, 2.0 (t, q, 5H, Et), 0.9, 1.6 (t, br, 10H, BEt_2), 5.5 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 237.4 Hz, Si–H).

7b: B. p. = 100 °C/1.0 × 10^{-3} Torr. – ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 1.1, 1.3 (s, s, 18H, ^{t}Bu), 1.0, 2.1 (t, q, 5H, Et), 1.1, 1.6 (t, br, 10H, BEt_2), 5.4 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 222.6 Hz, Si–H).

7c: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.2, 0.3 (s, s, 18H, $SiMe_3$), 0.9, 2.2 (t, q, 5H, Et), 0.9, 1.0, 1.3 (t, t, m, 10H, BEt_2), 5.6 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 222.4 Hz, Si–H).

7d: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.9, 2.1 (t, q, 5H, Et), 1.1, 1.2 – 1.5 (t, m, 10H, BEt_2), 5.6 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 234.9 Hz, Si–H), 6.8 – 7.0, 7.1 – 7.3 (m, m, 10H, Ph).

8a: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.5 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.8, 0.8, 1.3, 2.2 (t, t, m, m, 18H, ^{n}Bu), 1.0, 2.3 (t, m, 5H, Et), 0.9, 1.5 (t, m, 10H, BEt_2).

9a: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.6 – 1.4, 1.8 – 2.5 (overlapping multiplets of ^{n}Bu, BEt_2 and Et groups), 7.1, 7.7 (m, m, 5H, Si-Ph).

13a: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.7, 1.2 – 1.3, 1.9 (t, m, m, 9H, ^{n}Bu), 1.1 (s, 9H, ^{t}Bu), 1.1, 2.2 (m, q, 5H, Et), 1.1, 1.2 – 1.3 (m, m, 10H, BEt_2), 5.3 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 221.9 Hz, Si–H).

13a′: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 5.3 (s, 1H, Si–H).

14a: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 0.8, 1.0 – 1.2, 2.2 (t, m, t, 9H, ^{n}Bu), 0.9, 2.0 – 2.1 (t, m, 5H, Et), 1.0, 1.3 (m, m, 10H, BEt_2), 5.5 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 222.3 Hz, Si–H).

14a′: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.2 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 5.5 (s, 1H, Si–H).

15c: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 1.0, 2.4 (t, q, 5H, Et), 0.9, 1.4 (t, q, 10H, BEt_2), 5.5 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 226.1 Hz, Si–H), 7.0 – 7.1, 7.4 – 7.6 (m, m, 5H, Ph).

15c′: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 5.2 (s, 1H, Si–H).

*Alkenyl(alkyn-1-yl)silanes **10a**, **11c** and **12c***

Silanes **10a**, **11c** and **12c** were present as side products accompanying the siloles **13 – 15**.

10a: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 5.6 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 248.6 Hz, Si–H). – ^{13}C NMR: δ = 82.9 (Si–C≡), 119.2 (≡C), 30.4 (^{t}Bu-Me_3). – ^{29}Si NMR: δ = −39.8.

11c: ^{29}Si NMR: δ = −42.6 ppm, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 246.6 Hz.

12c: ^{1}H NMR (250 MHz): δ = 0.2 (s, 9H, $SiMe_3$), 5.6 (s, 1H, $^1J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 244.8 Hz, Si–H). – ^{13}C NMR: δ = 1.3 ($SiMe_3$), 89.9 (Si–C≡), 108.7 (≡C), 139.3 (=C), 191.6 (br, C=), 123.6, 128.5, 129.5, 132.3 (Ph). – ^{29}Si NMR: δ = −43.0, −5.1 ($^{29}SiMe_3$).

*Hydroboration of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **1 – 3** using BEt_3 as hydroborating reagent*

A mixture of the silane $MeSiCl(C{\equiv}C\text{-}Ph)_2$, **2d** (0.5 g, 1.8 mmol) and BEt_3 (1 mL, in slight excess) was sealed in an NMR tube and kept at 100 – 120 °C in an oil bath. The progress of the reaction was monitored by ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy, and after 12 d the reaction was found to be complete. The NMR tube was cooled in liquid N_2 and opened. Excess of BEt_3 and other volatiles were removed under reduced pressure (10^{-2} Torr), and the oily residue was identified as **17d** (*ca.* 90 % pure according to ^{1}H NMR spectra). The procedure for **18d** was identical to **17d**, except that heating lasted for 15 d and the reaction was complete only to *ca.* 90 % (Fig. 4).

17d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.7 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.9, 1.4 (t, m, 10H, BEt_2), 6.4 (s, 1H, $^3J(^1H,^{29}Si)$ = 19.3 Hz, =CH), 6.9 – 7.4 (m, 10H, Ph, Ph).

18d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 1.0, 1.5 (t, m, 10H, BEt_2), 6.5 (s, 1H, ^3J ($^1H,^{29}Si$) = 21.4 Hz, =CH), 6.8 – 7.4 (m, 15H, Si-Ph, Ph).

*Hydroboration of di(alkyn-1-yl)(chloro)silanes **2a, d** and **3d** using 9-BBN*

A solution of silane **2a** (0.74 g, 3.1 mmol) in C_6D_6 (1.5 mL) was mixed with the crystalline 9-BBN dimer (0.387 g, 3.1 mmol). The mixture was heated to 80 °C for 20 min. During this time 9-BBN was completely consumed (monitored by ^{11}B NMR spectroscopy). The NMR data clearly indicated the formation of **19a**. The 1,2-hydroboration of the silanes **2d** and **3d** was carried out in the same way leading to alkenyl(alkyn-1-yl)silanes **19d** and **20d**.

19a: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.7 (s, 3H, $^2J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 7.4 Hz, Si-Me), 0.7, 0.9, 1.2 – 1.3, 2.0, 2.5 (t, t, m, t, m, 18H, ^{n}Bu), 1.4, 1.8 – 2.0 (m, m, 14H, 9-BBN), 7.0 (t, 1H, $^3J(^1H,^1H)$ = 7.3 Hz, $^3J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 21.1 Hz, =CH).

19d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 3H, $^2J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 7.7 Hz, Si-Me), 1.2, 1.6 – 2.1 (m, m, 14H, 9-BBN), 7.3, 7.1, 7.0, 6.7 – 6.8 (m, m, m, m, 10H, Ph), 7.9 (s, 1H, $^3J(^1H,^{29}Si)$ = 21.7 Hz, =CH).

20d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 1.4, 1.9 – 2.2 (m, m, 14H, 9-BBN), 7.9, 7.6, 6.9 – 7.3 (m, m, m, 15H, Si-Ph, Ph), 8.2 (s, 1H, $^3J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 21.4 Hz, =CH).

*Syntheses of 1-silacyclobutene derivatives **21a, d** and **22d***

Compounds **19** and **20** were heated at 80 °C to afford the 1-silacyclobutene derivatives **21a**, **21d** and **22d** upon ring closure. The time required for complete rearrangement *via* intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration was 7 d (**21a**), 5 d (**21d**) and 12 h (**22d**).

21a: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.8 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.8, 1.3, 2.3 (t, m, m, 18H, ^{n}Bu), 1.3, 1.8 – 1.9 (m, m, 14H, 9-BBN), 5.8 (t, 1H, $^3J(^1H,^1H)$ = 6.9 Hz, $^3J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 22.1 Hz, =CH).

21d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.4 (s, 3H, $^2J(^{29}Si,^1H)$ = 7.1 Hz, Si-Me), 1.2 – 2.1 (m, 14H, 9-BBN), 6.6 – 7.2 (m, 11H, Ph, =CH).

22d: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 1.4 – 2.0 (m, 14H, 9-BBN), 6.8 – 7.2, 7.4, 7.9 (m, m, m, 16H, Si-Ph, Ph, =CH).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for supporting this work. E. K. is grateful to DAAD and HEC (Pakistan) for a scholarship.

[1] a) K. Oshima, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **2008**, *81*, 1; b) M. Kimura, Y. Tamaru, *Topics Curr. Chem*. **2007**, *279*, 173; c) Y. Tamaru, *Organomet*. *News* **2007**, 12; d) T. Ukon, T. Harada, *Eur. J. Org. Chem*. **2008**, 4405; e) D. S. Lim, S. C. Ngo, S. G. Lal, K. E. Minnich, J. T. Welch, *Tetrahedron Lett*. **2008**, *49*, 5662; f) C. Billaud, J.-P. Goddard, T. Le Gall, C. Mioskowski, *Tetrahedron Lett*. **2003**, *44*, 4451; g) J. F. Geisz, D. J. Friedman, S. Kurtz, R. C. Reedy, G. Barber, *J. Electr. Mat*. **2001**, *30*, 1387; h) S. Deprele, J.-C. Montchamp, *J. Org. Chem*. **2001**, *66*, 6745; i) K. Nakamura, T. Sasaki, *J. Solid State Chem*. **2000**, *154*, 101; j) A. Shifman, N. Palani, S. Hoz, *Angew. Chem*. **2000**, *112*, 974; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*. **2000**, *39*, 944; k) R. Köster, W. Schüssler, R. Boese, M. Herberhold, S. Gerstmann, B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber*. **1996**, *129*, 503; l) P. Binger, R. Köster, *Inorg. Synth*. **1974**, *15*, 136; m) R. Köster, W. Fenzl, *Liebigs Ann. Chem*. **1974**, 69.

[2] R. Köster, G. Seidel, G. Süß, B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber*. **1993**, *126*, 1107.

[3] a) B. Wrackmeyer, *Coord. Chem. Rev*. **1995**, *145*, 125; b) B. Wrackmeyer, *Heteroatom Chem*. **2006**, *17*, 188; c) B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III*, (Eds.: A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K. Taylor), Elsevier, Oxford, **2008**, pp. 1181 – 1223.

[4] a) B. Wrackmeyer, W. Milius, M. H. Bhatti, S. Ali, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **2003**, *665*, 196; b) B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, M. H. Bhatti, S. Ali, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun*. **2002**, *67*, 822; c) B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, K. Shahid, S. Ali, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *357*, 1103.

[5] a) B. Wrackmeyer, G. Kehr, J. Süß, *Chem. Ber*. **1993**, *126*, 2221; b) B. Wrackmeyer, J. Süß, *Z. Naturforsch*. **2002**, *57b*, 741.

[6] a) B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, A. Khan, A. Badshah, *Z. Naturforsch*. **2005**, *60b*, 251; b) B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, A. Khan, A. Badshah, *Appl. Organomet. Chem*, **2005**, *19*, 1249.

[7] A. Stock, F. Zeidler, *Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges*. **1921**, *54B*, 531.

[8] a) L. Rosenblum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1955**, *77*, 5016; b) R. Köster, *Liebigs Ann. Chem*. **1958**, *618*, 31; c) E. C. Ashby, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1959**, *81*, 4791; d) P. F. Winternitz, A. A. Carotti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1960**, *82*, 2430.

[9] R. Köster, G. Benedikt, W. Larbig, K. Reinert, G. Rotermund, *Angew. Chem*. **1963**, *75*, 1079.

[10] R. Köster, W. Larbig, G. W. Rotermund, *Liebigs Ann. Chem*. **1965**, *682*, 21.

[11] R. Köster in *Houben-Weyl Methoden der Organischen Chemie*, Vol 13/3c, Ed.: R. Köster), Thieme Stuttgart, **1984**, p. 217.

[12] E. Abuin, J. Grotewold, E. A. Lissi, M. C. Vara, *J. Chem. Soc. B* **1968**, 1044.

[13] B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, S. Bayer, K. Shahid, *Z. Naturforsch*. **2007**, *62b*, 1174.

[14] B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, W. Milius, *Z. Naturforsch*. **2008**, *63b*, 1267.

[15] a) W. E. Davidsohn, M. C. Henry, *Chem. Rev*. **1967**, *67*, 73; b) L. Brandsma, *Preparative Acetylenic Chemistry*, (2nd ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, **1988**; c) L. Brandsma, *Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes, Cumulenes – Methods and Techniques*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2004**.

[16] a) H. Lang, U. Lay, L. Zsolnai, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **1991**, *417*, 377; b) C. K. Frisch, B. R. Young, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1952**, *74*, 4853; c) N. O. Florensova, A. B. Sokolov, I. L. Volkova, *Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser*. Khim. **1973**, 1390.

[17] B. Wrackmeyer, H. E. Maisel, E. Molla, A. Mot-

talib, A. Badshah, *Appl. Organomet. Chem*. **2003**, *17*, 465.

[18] B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, R. Kempe, *Appl. Organomet. Chem*. **2007**, *21*, 39.

[19] a) B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, R. Kempe, *Z. Naturforsch*. **2007**, *62b*, 75; b) B. Wrackmeyer, A. Badshah, E. Molla, A. Mottalib, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **1999**, *98*, 584; c) B. Wrackmeyer, K. Shahid, S. Ali, *Appl. Organomet. Chem*. **2005**, *19*, 377; d) B. Wrackmeyer, W. Milius, M. H. Bhatti, S. Ali, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **2003**, *72*, 669.

[20] H. Nöth, B. Wrackmeyer, *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of Boron Compounds in NMR – Basic Principles and Progress*, Vol. 14, Eds.: P. Diehl, E. Fluck, R. Kosfeld) Springer, Berlin, **1978**.

[21] a) B. Wrackmeyer, *Progr. NMR Spectrosc*. **1979**, *12*, 227; b) B. Wrackmeyer, *Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc*. **1988**, *20*, 61.

[22] a) J. B.-Wilking, Y. Zhang, J. Y. Corey, N. P. Rath, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **2008**, *693*, 1233; b) H. Sohn, *J. Organomet. Chem*. **2004**, *689*, 134.

[23] a) G. A. Morris, R. Freeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1979**, *101*, 760; b) G. A. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1980**, *102*, 428; c) G. A. Morris, *J. Magn. Reson*. **1980**, *41*, 185; d) D. P. Burum, R. R. Ernst, *J. Magn. Reson*. **1980**, *39*, 163.